arXiv:1011.3453v2 [math.AP] 18 Nov 2010

Nonlinear Stability for the Periodic and Non-Periodic Zakharov System

Jaime Angulo Pava[*]

Department of Mathematics, IME-USP
Rua do Matão 1010, Cidade Universitária,
CEP 05508-090, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

Carlos Banquet Brango[†]

Departamento de Matemáticas y Estadística
Universidad de Córdoba
Carrera 6 No. 76-103, Montería, Córdoba, Colombia

Abstract

We prove the existence of a smooth curve of periodic traveling wave solutions for the Zakharov system. We also show that this type of solutions are nonlinear stable by the periodic flow generated for the system mentioned before. An improvement of the work of Ya Ping [37] is made, we prove the stability of the solitary wave solutions associated to the Zakharov system.

Key words. Periodic traveling waves, Solitary waves, Nonlinear Stability, Zakharov System.

AMS subject classifications. 35Q53; 35B35; 35B10

1 Introduction

In this essay we study the periodic Zakharov system

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + u_{xx} = uv \\ v_{tt} - v_{xx} = (|u|^2)_{xx}, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $u = u(x,t) \in \mathbb{C},\ v = v(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x, t \in \mathbb{R}$. This system was introduced by Zakharov in [38] to describe the long wave Langmuir turbulence in a plasma. The function $u = u(x,t)$ represents the slowly varying envelope of the highly oscillatory electric field and v denotes the deviation of the ion density from the equilibrium.

The goal of this paper is to establish the existence and nonlinear stability of periodic traveling wave solutions for the Zakharov system. More precisely, we are interested in solutions for (1.1) of the form

$$u(x,t) = e^{-i\omega t} e^{i\frac{c}{2}(x-ct)} \phi_{\omega,c}(x-ct) \quad \text{and} \quad v(x,t) = \psi_{\omega,c}(x-ct), \tag{1.2}$$

---

[*] Email: angulo@ime.usp.br

[†] Email: cbanquet@sinu.unicordoba.edu.co

where $\omega, c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi_{\omega,c}, \psi_{\omega,c} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ are periodic smooth functions with the same fundamental period $L > 0$. As far as we know any result of stability for this type of waves has been established before. The first work about existence and nonlinear stability of periodic waves was made by Benjamin in [9], where he studied periodic waves of cnoidal type for the Korteweg-de Vries equation. This work had some gaps on central parts of the stability theory that was revised and complemented by Angulo, Bona and Scialom in [5]. In the last few years some papers about the nonlinear stability on the periodic case have appeared in the literature, see for instance [2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 18, 19, 22, 27, 28].

Substituting the type of solutions given in (1.2) in the system (1.1), we get that $\phi = \phi_{\omega,c}$ and $\psi = \psi_{\omega,c}$ have to satisfy the next system of ordinary differential equations,

$$\begin{cases} (c^2-1)\psi'' = (\phi^2)'' \\ \phi'' + \left(\omega + \dfrac{c^2}{4}\right)\phi = \phi\psi. \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

Integrating the first equation of the system (1.3) and substituting on the second one, we obtain after some algebra that the solution ϕ has to satisfy

$$(\phi')^2 = \frac{1}{2(1-c^2)}F(\phi),$$

where F is the polynomial given by

$$F(t) = -t^4 + 2(1-c^2)\left(-\omega - \frac{c^2}{4}\right)t^2 + 4(1-c^2)A_\phi$$

and A_ϕ is a constant of integration. It is clear that the solutions of the equation (1.1) depend of the roots of the polynomial F. Assuming that F has roots $\pm\eta_1$ and $\pm\eta_2$ with $0 < \eta_2 < \eta_1$, we obtain the smooth curve of dnoidal waves

$$\nu \in \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{L^2}, +\infty\right) \longmapsto (\psi_\nu, \phi_\nu) \in H^n_{per}([0,L]) \times H^n_{per}([0,L]), \quad \text{for all} \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

with ϕ_ν and ψ_ν given by

$$\phi_\nu(\xi) = \eta_1 \mathrm{dn}\left(\tfrac{\eta_1\xi}{\sqrt{2(1-c^2)}}; k\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_\nu(\xi) = -\frac{\eta_1^2}{1-c^2}\mathrm{dn}^2\left(\tfrac{\eta_1\xi}{\sqrt{2(1-c^2)}}; k\right).$$

Here, $k^2 = \frac{\eta_1^2-\eta_2^2}{\eta_1^2}$, $\nu = -\left(\omega + \frac{c^2}{4}\right)$ and dn denotes the Jacobi elliptic function of dnoidal type. This solutions are constructed with the same fixed minimal period $L > 0$, not necessarily large.

With respect to the well-posedness problem for the Zakharov system, on the periodic case, this was studied by Bourgain in [12], where a global well-posedness result was obtained for initial data $(u(0), v(0), v_t(0)) \in H^1_{per} \times L^2_{per} \times H^{-1}_{per}$. It is worth to note that in the periodic case there exists another *more general* result about well-posedness for the Zakharov system obtained by Takaoka in [34], but for our purpose the result established by Bourgain is good enough. See also Guo and Shen [21], where the existence of classical periodic solutions for the system (1.1) is proved. On the continuous case the Cauchy problem associated to the Zakharov system in one and several dimensions have been studied extensively, see for instance [1, 8, 13, 15, 20, 25, 29, 30, 32, 33].

In order to establish the spectral properties of some linear operators which appear in the proof of the stability, we use the Floquet theory, more precisely we use the Oscillation Theorem (see Magnus and Winkler [26]). Our spectral analysis depends basically of the next periodic and semi-periodic eigenvalue problems associated to the Lamé equation, given respectively by

$$\begin{cases} y''+[\lambda - m(m+1)k^2\text{sn}^2(x,k)]y = 0 \\ y(0) = y(2K(k)), \quad y'(0) = y'(2K(k)) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} y''+[\lambda - m(m+1)k^2\text{sn}^2(x,k)]y = 0 \\ y(0) = -y(2K(k)), \quad y'(0) = -y'(2K(k)), \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, sn denotes the Jacobi elliptic function of snoidal type and K is the complete elliptic integral of the first type (see Byrd and Friedman [14]). Recently, Neves in [28] proved that is possible to characterize the eigenvalues of the Hill operator $L(y) = -y'' + Q(x)y$ in $L^2[0,\pi]$ if we know explicitly one of the eigenfunctions associated to this eigenvalue (in this case, Q is a C^2 periodic function with period π). Unfortunately, we only had access to this work when we already had concluded our spectral results using the associated Lamé equation. We are completely sure that this new theory can be use to obtain the spectral properties of the operator studied in this paper.

To obtain our result of stability for the dnoidal wave solutions, we rewrite the Zakharov system as

$$\begin{cases} v_t = -V_x, \ \displaystyle\int_0^L V(x,t)dx = 0 \\ V_t = -(v+|u|^2)_x \\ iu_t + u_{xx} = uv \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

and we adapt to the periodic case the ideas established by Benjamin [10], Bona [11] and Weinstein [36], then we impose the restriction

$$\int_0^L v_0(x)dx \leq \int_0^L \psi_\nu(x)dx,$$

where $v(x,0) = v_0(x)$, to obtain that the dnoidal waves with $c \in (-1,1)$ fixed and $\nu > \frac{2\pi^2}{L^2}$, are orbitally stable in

$$X := L^2_{per}([0,L]) \times \widetilde{L}^2_{per}([0,L]) \times H^1_{per}([0,L])$$

by the periodic flow of the system (1.4). Here, $\widetilde{L}^2_{per}$ is given by

$$\widetilde{L}^2_{per}([0,L]) = \left\{ f \in L^2_{per}([0,L]) : \int_0^L f(x)dx = 0 \right\}.$$

With regard to the existence and stability of solitary wave solutions for the Zakharov system, there exists a result obtained by Ya Ping in [37], this work is not completely right. In [37] the author considered the *equivalent* system

$$\begin{cases} v_t = V_{xx}, \\ V_t = v+|u|^2, \\ iu_t + u_{xx} = uv. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the solitary wave solution $V(x,t) = \varphi_{\omega,c}(x-ct)$ is given by

$$\varphi_{\omega,c}(\xi) = c\sqrt{-4\omega - c^2}\tanh\left(\frac{\sqrt{-4\omega-c^2}}{2}\xi\right). \tag{1.5}$$

Observe that this solution is not in any Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ and Ya ping proved stability in $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}) \times H^1(\mathbb{R})$, which is not right because the solution (1.5) is not in the space where the author proves the stability. One of the goals of this paper is to improve the result of stability, for the solitary waves solutions, obtained by Ya Ping. Following the ideas used to establish the stability on the periodic case we prove that the solitary wave solutions

$$\psi_{\omega,c}(\xi) = \left(2\omega + \frac{c^2}{2}\right)\ \mathrm{sech}^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{-4\omega - c^2}}{2}\xi\right), \quad \phi_{\omega,c}(\xi) = \sqrt{\frac{(-4\omega - c^2)(1-c^2)}{2}}\ \mathrm{sech}\left(\frac{\sqrt{-4\omega - c^2}}{2}\xi\right)$$

$$\text{and} \quad \varphi_{\omega,c}(\xi) = c\left(2\omega + \frac{c^2}{2}\right)\ \mathrm{sech}^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{-4\omega - c^2}}{2}\xi\right)$$

are orbitally stable in $X = L^2(\mathbb{R}) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}) \times H^1(\mathbb{R})$ by the flow generated by the Zakharov system if $1 - c > 0$ and $4\omega + c^2 \geq 0$. It is worth to note that in the continuous case the restriction imposed above for the initial datum v_0 is not necessary, because using the property that the solitary wave solutions converges to zero, when ξ goes to infinity, the term that force to impose this condition disappears.

The plan of the paper is as follows. The next section is devoted to describe briefly the notation that will be used, and to make a few preliminary remarks regarding periodic and nonperiodic Sobolev spaces. In Section 3 we prove the existence of a smooth curve of dnoidal wave solutions for the system (1.1). Section 4 contains the spectral analysis of some linear operators necessary to obtain our result of stability. In Section 5 we present the result of nonlinear stability for the dnoidal wave solutions of the system (1.1). Finally, in Section 6 we present the result of stability of the solitary waves associated to the Zakharov system.

2 Notation

The L^2-based Sobolev spaces of periodic functions are defined as follows (for further details see Iorio and Iorio [24]). Let $\mathcal{P} = C^\infty_{per}$ denote the collection of all functions $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ which are C^∞ and periodic with period $2L > 0$. The collection $\mathcal{P}'$ of all continuous linear functionals from $\mathcal{P}$ into $\mathbb{C}$ is the set of *periodic distributions.* If $\Psi \in \mathcal{P}'$ then we denote the value of Ψ at φ by $\Psi(\varphi) = \langle \Psi, \varphi \rangle$. Define the functions $\Theta_k(x) = \exp(\pi i k x/L),\ k \in \mathbb{Z},\ x \in \mathbb{R}$. The Fourier transform of Ψ is the function $\widehat{\Psi} : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by the formula $\widehat{\Psi}(k) = \frac{1}{2L}\langle \Psi, \varphi \rangle,\ k \in \mathbb{Z}$. So, if Ψ is a periodic function with period $2L$, we have

$$\widehat{\Psi}(k) = \frac{1}{2L}\int_{-L}^{L} \Psi(x) e^{-\frac{ik\pi x}{L}}\, dx.$$

For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the Sobolev space of order s, denoted by $H^s_{per}([-L, L])$ is the set of all $f \in \mathcal{P}'$ such that $(1 + |k|^2)^{\frac{s}{2}} \widehat{f}(k) \in l^2(\mathbb{Z})$, with norm

$$||f||^2_{H^s_{per}} = 2L \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + |k|^2)^s |\widehat{f}(k)|^2.$$

We also note that H^s_{per} is a Hilbert space with respect to the inner product

$$(f|g)_s = 2L \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + |k|^2)^s \widehat{f}(k)\overline{\widehat{g}(k)}$$

In the case $s = 0$, H^0_{per} is a Hilbert space that is isometrically isomorphic to $L^2([-L, L])$ and

$$(f|g)_0 = (f, g) = \int_{-L}^{L} f\overline{g}\ dx.$$

The space H^0_{per} will be denoted by L^2_{per} and its norm will be $\|\cdot\|_{L^2_{per}}$. Of course $H^s_{per} \subset L^2_{per}$, for any $s \geq 0$. Moreover, $(H^s_{per})'$, the topological dual of H^s_{per}, is isometrically isomorphic to H^{-s}_{per} for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. The duality is implemented concretely by the pairing

$$\langle f, g\rangle_s = 2L \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(k)\overline{\widehat{g}(k)}, \quad for \quad f \in H^{-s}_{per}, \;\; g \in H^s_{per}.$$

Thus, if $f \in L^2_{per}$ and $g \in H^s_{per}$, with $s \geq 0$, it follows that $\langle f, g\rangle_s = (f, g)$. Additionally, in the particular case $s = \frac{1}{2}$ we will denote the pairing $\langle f, g\rangle_s$ simply by $\langle f, g\rangle$. One of Sobolev's Lemmas in this context states that if $s > \frac{1}{2}$ and

$$C_{per} = \{f : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \;\text{ is continuous and periodic with period }\; 2L\},$$

then $H^s_{per} \hookrightarrow C_{per}$.

Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$. The (L^2 type) Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ is the collection of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{R})$ such that $(1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{s}{2}}\widehat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, d\xi)$, that is, $\widehat{f}$ is a measurable function and

$$\|f\|^2_s = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |\xi|^2)^s |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi < \infty.$$

For more details see Iorio and Iorio [24]. Finally, we say that $b \in \widehat{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ if there exists $V \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $b = -V'$ and $\|b\|_{\widehat{H}^{-1}} = \|V\|_{L^2}$.

3 Existence of dnoidal wave solutions

In this section we show the existence of a smooth curve of dnoidal wave solutions, with the same fundamental period, for the Zakharov system. In this case, we are interested in solutions for the system (1.1) in the form given in (1.2). Since u is a periodic function (with period L), for $c \neq 0$ we suppose that there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $L = \frac{4\pi m}{c}$. Note that for $c = 0$ we obtain immediately that u is a L-periodic function. Substituting (1.2) in (1.1), we have that $\phi = \phi_{\omega,c}$ and $\psi = \psi_{\omega,c}$ have to satisfy (1.3). Integrating the first equation in (1.3) we obtain

$$(c^2 - 1)\psi' = (\phi^2)' + a_0. \tag{3.1}$$

Using the fact that ϕ^2 and ψ are periodic we get that $a_0 = 0$. Therefore

$$(c^2 - 1)\psi' = (\phi^2)'. \tag{3.2}$$

Integrating (3.2), we have that for all $c \neq 1$

$$\psi = \frac{-\phi^2}{1 - c^2} + a_1. \tag{3.3}$$

We assume in our theory that the constant of integration a_1 is zero. Thus, substituting (3.3) in the second equation of (1.3) we have that

$$\phi'' + \left(\omega + \frac{c^2}{4}\right)\phi + \frac{\phi^3}{1 - c^2} = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Now, multiplying (3.4) by ϕ' and integrating once, we arrived at

$$\frac{(\phi')^2}{2} + \left(\omega + \frac{c^2}{4}\right)\frac{\phi^2}{2} + \frac{\phi^4}{4(1 - c^2)} = A_\phi,$$

where A_ϕ is a constant of integration. Then,

$$(\phi')^2 = \frac{1}{2(1-c^2)}F(\phi),$$

where F is a polynomial given by

$$F(t) = -t^4 + 2(1-c^2)\left(-\omega - \frac{c^2}{4} - a_1\right)t^2 + 4(1-c^2)A_\phi.$$

Suppose that F has roots $\pm\eta_1$ and $\pm\eta_2$ (note that F is even) and without loss of generality that $0<\eta_2<\eta_1$. Thus, we can write

$$(\phi')^2 = \frac{1}{2(1-c^2)}(\phi^2-\eta_2^2)(\eta_1^2-\phi^2). \tag{3.5}$$

Assume also that $1-c^2>0$, then the left side of (3.5) is not negative, therefore we have that

$$\eta_2^2 \le \phi^2 \le \eta_1^2.$$

Since we are interested in positive solutions, from the last inequality we obtain $\eta_2 \le \phi \le \eta_1$. Using (3.5) we get that the η_j's satisfy

$$\begin{cases} -2(1-c^2)\left(\omega + \dfrac{c^2}{4}\right) = \eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2 \\ 4(1-c^2)A_\phi = -\eta_1^2\eta_2^2. \end{cases}$$

From the last system, we get the restriction $4\omega + c^2 < 0$.

Now, define $\varrho(\xi) = \frac{\phi(\xi)}{\eta_1}$, $k^2 = \frac{\eta_1^2-\eta_2^2}{\eta_1^2}$ and assume that $\varrho(0)=1$. Thus, we can rewrite the equation (3.5) as

$$(\varrho')^2 = \frac{\eta_1^2}{2(1-c^2)}(1-\varrho^2)(\varrho^2+k^2-1). \tag{3.6}$$

Finally, define χ through the relation $\varrho^2 = 1-k^2\sin^2\chi$, with $\chi(0)=0$, then (3.6) can be reduce to

$$[\chi']^2 = \frac{\eta_1^2}{2(1-c^2)}(1-k^2\sin^2\chi). \tag{3.7}$$

From (3.7) we obtain after some algebra that,

$$\int_0^{\chi(\xi)} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-k^2\sin\ t}} = \frac{\eta_1\xi}{\sqrt{2(1-c^2)}}. \tag{3.8}$$

Using the identity (3.8), we obtain from the definition of the Jacobi elliptic functions (see Byrd and Friedman [14]) that

$$\sin(\chi(\xi)) = \mathrm{sn}\left(\frac{\eta_1\xi}{\sqrt{2(1-c^2)}};k\right).$$

Therefore

$$\varrho(\xi) = \sqrt{1-k^2\sin^2(\chi(\xi))} = \sqrt{1-k^2\mathrm{sn}^2\left(\tfrac{\eta_1\xi}{\sqrt{2(1-c^2)}};k\right)} = \mathrm{dn}\left(\tfrac{\eta_1\xi}{\sqrt{2(1-c^2)}};k\right),$$

where we use the fact that $k^2\mathrm{sn}^2 + \mathrm{dn}^2 = 1$. Coming back to the variable ϕ we obtain that

$$\phi_{\omega,c}(\xi) = \eta_1 \mathrm{dn}\left(\tfrac{\eta_1 \xi}{\sqrt{2(1-c^2)}}; k\right) \tag{3.9}$$

and using (3.3) we arrive at

$$\psi_{\omega,c}(\xi) = -\frac{\eta_1^2}{1-c^2}\mathrm{dn}^2\left(\tfrac{\eta_1 \xi}{\sqrt{2(1-c^2)}}; k\right). \tag{3.10}$$

Now, since dn has fundamental period $2K$, where $K = K(k)$ is the complete elliptic integral of the first type (see Byrd and Friedman [14]), we obtain that ϕ e ψ have fundamental period given by

$$T_\psi = T_\phi = \frac{2\sqrt{2(1-c^2)}}{\eta_1}K(k).$$

Fix ω and c such that $1 - c^2 > 0$ and $4\omega + c^2 < 0$. Additionally, define

$$\nu = -\left(\omega + \frac{c^2}{4}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = 1 - c^2.$$

Then $\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2 = 2\nu\alpha$ and consequently $0 < \eta_2 < \sqrt{\nu\alpha} < \eta_1 < \sqrt{2\nu\alpha}$. We express T_ψ and T_ϕ as functions of the parameter η_2,

$$T_\psi(\eta_2) = T_\phi(\eta_2) = \frac{2\sqrt{2\alpha}}{\sqrt{2\nu\alpha - \eta_2^2}}K(k(\eta_2)) \quad \text{with} \quad k^2(\eta_2) = \frac{2\nu\alpha - 2\eta_2^2}{2\nu\alpha - \eta_2^2}.$$

Note that if $\eta_2 \to 0$, we have that $k(\eta_2) \to 1^-$, which implies that $K(k(\eta_2)) \to +\infty$ and consequently $T_\psi, T_\phi \to +\infty$. On the other hand, when $\eta_2 \to \sqrt{\nu\alpha}$ we get that $k(\eta_2) \to 0^+$ and then $K(k(\eta_2)) \to \frac{\pi}{2}$. Therefore $T_\psi, T_\phi \to \frac{\pi\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\nu}}$. Since the function $\eta_2 \in (0, \sqrt{\nu\alpha}) \mapsto T_\psi(\eta_2) = T_\phi(\eta_2)$ is strictly decreasing (we prove this fact later) we obtain

$$T_\phi = T_\psi > \frac{\pi\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\nu}}.$$

Now, for $L > 0$ and $1 - c^2 > 0$ fixed, chose $\nu > 0$ such that $\sqrt{\nu} > \frac{\pi\sqrt{2}}{L}$. Then, it follows from the analysis given above that there exists a unique $\eta_2 = \eta_2(\nu) \in (0, \sqrt{\nu\alpha})$ such that the dnoidal waves $\phi = \phi(\cdot; \eta_1(\nu), \eta_2(\nu))$ and $\psi = \psi(\cdot; \eta_1(\nu), \eta_2(\nu))$ have fundamental period $L = T_\psi(\eta_2) = T_\phi(\eta_2)$.

Remark 3.1 *The formula (3.9) and (3.10) contains, at least formally, the solitary wave solutions for the system (1.1) found by Ya Ping in [37]. In fact, if* $\eta_2 \to 0^+$ *we obtain that* $\eta_1 \to \sqrt{2\nu\alpha}$, $k(\eta_2) \to 1^-$ *and* $dn(x, 1^-) = sech(x)$. *Consequently*

$$\phi_{c,\omega}(x) = \frac{\sqrt{(-4\omega - c^2)(1-c^2)}}{2}\, sech\left(\frac{\sqrt{-4\omega - c^2}}{2}\, x\right) \quad \textit{and}$$

$$\psi_{c,\omega}(x) = \left(2\omega + \frac{c^2}{2}\right) sech^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{-4\omega - c^2}}{2}\, x\right).$$

Theorem 3.2 *Let* $L > 0$ *and* $1 - c^2 > 0$ *be arbitrarily fixed. Consider* $\nu_0 > \frac{2\pi^2}{L^2}$ *and the unique* $\eta_{2,0} = \eta_{2,0}(\nu_0) \in (0, \sqrt{\nu_0\alpha})$ *such that* $T_{\psi_{\nu_0}} = L = T_{\phi_{\nu_0}}$. *Then,*

(i) there exist intervals $I(\nu_0)$ *and* $B(\eta_{2,0})$ *around* ν_0 *and* $\eta_{2,0}$ *respectively, and a unique smooth function* $\Lambda : I(\nu_0) \longrightarrow B(\eta_{2,0})$ *such that* $\Lambda(\nu_0) = \eta_{2,0}$ *and*

$$\frac{2\sqrt{2\alpha}}{\sqrt{2\nu\alpha - \eta_2^2}}K(k) = L,$$

for all $\nu \in I(\nu_0)$*,* $\eta_2 = \Lambda(\nu)$ *and*

$$k^2 = k^2(\nu) = \frac{2\nu\alpha - 2\eta_2^2}{2\nu\alpha - \eta_2^2}. \tag{3.11}$$

Furthermore, we can chose $I(\nu_0) = (\frac{2\pi^2}{L^2}, +\infty)$*.*

(ii) The dnoidal waves $\psi(\cdot;\eta_1,\eta_2)$ *and* $\phi(\cdot;\eta_1,\eta_2)$ *given by (3.9) and (3.10), and determined by* $\eta_1 = \eta_1(\nu)$*,* $\eta_2 = \eta_2(\nu) = \Lambda(\nu)$*, with* $\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2 = 2\nu\alpha$*, have fundamental period* L *and satisfy (3.3) and (3.4). Furthermore, the map*

$$\nu \in I(\eta_0) \longmapsto (\psi(\cdot;\eta_1(\nu),\eta_2(\nu)), \phi(\cdot;\eta_1(\nu),\eta_2(\nu))) \in H^n_{per}([0,L]) \times H^n_{per}([0,L])$$

is smooth for all integer $n \geq 1$*.*

(iii) The map $\Lambda : I(\nu_0) \to B(\eta_{2,0})$ *is strictly decreasing. Therefore, from (3.11),* $\nu \mapsto k(\nu)$ *is a strictly increasing function.*

Proof: The proof of this theorem follows the same ideas of the Theorem 2.1 in Angulo [4], we will use the Implicit Function Theorem. For this, consider the open set

$$\Omega = \left\{ (\eta,\nu) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \nu > \frac{2\pi^2}{L^2} \text{ and } \eta \in (0, \sqrt{\nu\alpha}\ ) \right\}$$

and $\Gamma : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$\Gamma(\eta,\nu) = \frac{2\sqrt{2\alpha}}{\sqrt{2\nu\alpha - \eta^2}}\, K(k(\eta,\nu)) - L,$$

where

$$k^2(\eta,\nu) = \frac{2\nu\alpha - 2\eta^2}{2\nu\alpha - \eta^2}. \tag{3.12}$$

From the hypothesis, we have that $\Gamma(\eta_{2,0},\nu_0) = 0$. We proof that $\frac{d\Gamma}{d\eta} < 0$ in Ω. In fact, we use the next relation

$$\frac{dK(k)}{dk} = \frac{E(k) - k'^2 K(k)}{kk'^2} \quad \text{with} \quad k \in (0,1), \tag{3.13}$$

where $E = E(k)$ is the complete elliptic integral of the second type and $k'^2 = 1 - k^2$ is the complementary modulus. Deriving (3.12) with respect to η, we obtain that

$$\frac{\partial k}{\partial \eta} = -\frac{2\eta\nu\alpha}{k(2\nu\alpha - \eta^2)^2}. \tag{3.14}$$

Then from (3.13) and (3.14) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \eta} = \frac{2\eta\sqrt{2\alpha}}{(2\nu\alpha - \eta^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\, K(k) - \frac{4\eta\nu\alpha\sqrt{2\alpha}}{(2\nu\alpha - \eta^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}} \left[\frac{E(k) - k'^2 K(k)}{k^2 k'^2} \right].$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \eta} < 0 &\Leftrightarrow k^2 k'^2 (2\nu\alpha - \eta^2) K(k) < 2\nu\alpha E(k) - 2\nu\alpha k'^2 K(k) \\
&\Leftrightarrow k'^2 (2\nu\alpha - 2\eta^2) K(k) + 2\nu\alpha k'^2 K(k) < 2\nu\alpha E(k) \\
&\Leftrightarrow \frac{2\nu\alpha k'^2}{(1 + k'^2)} K(k) < \nu\alpha E(k) \Leftrightarrow (1 + k'^2) E(k) - 2k'^2 K(k) > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the last inequality always holds, we obtain that $\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \eta} < 0$. By the Implicit Function Theorem we have that there exists an interval $I(\nu_0)$ around (ν_0), an interval $B(\eta_{2,0})$ around $\eta_{2,0}$ and a smooth function $\Lambda : I(\nu_0) \longrightarrow B(\eta_{2,0})$ such that $\Lambda(\nu_0) = \eta_{2,0}$ and

$$\Gamma(\Lambda(\nu),\nu) = 0, \qquad \forall \nu \in I(\nu_0).$$

Additionally, since ν_0 was chosen arbitrarily in $I = \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{L^2}, +\infty\right)$ and from the uniqueness of Λ, we extend Λ to I. The part *(ii)* is immediate, using the smoothness of the function involved.

Now, we prove that Λ is an strictly decreasing function. For this note that $\Gamma(\Lambda(\nu), \nu) = L$ for all $\nu \in I(\nu_0)$ then, using again the Implicit Function Theorem we get that

$$\Lambda'(\nu) = -\frac{\partial\Gamma/\partial\nu}{\partial\Gamma/\partial\eta}.$$

Since $\frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial\eta} < 0$, we just have to prove that $\frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial\nu} < 0$ in $I(\nu_0)$. In fact, since

$$\frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial\nu} = \frac{2\alpha\sqrt{2\alpha}}{(2\nu\alpha-\eta^2)^{3/2}}\left[-K + \frac{dK}{dk}\frac{\eta^2}{k(2\nu\alpha-\eta^2)}\right]$$

and $\eta^2 = (2\nu\alpha - \eta^2)k'^2$, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial\nu} < 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{\eta^2}{\sqrt{2\nu\alpha-\eta^2}\sqrt{2\nu\alpha-2\eta^2}}\frac{dK}{dk} < K \Leftrightarrow k'^2\frac{dK}{dk} - kK < 0.$$

From (3.13), we arrived at

$$\frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial\nu} < 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{E - k'^2K}{k} - kK < 0 \Leftrightarrow E < K.$$

Since the last inequality always holds for any $k \in (0,1)$ (see Byrd and Friedman [14]), we obtain the desired result.

Finally, deriving k with respect to ν, we obtain

$$\frac{dk}{d\nu} = \frac{\alpha\eta(\eta - 2\eta'\nu)}{k(2\nu\alpha-\eta^2)^2} > 0,$$

which proves that $\nu \mapsto k(\nu)$ is strictly increasing function, this finishes the proof of the theorem. □

The next result will be used in the prove of the stability of the dnoidal waves solutions.

Corolary 3.3 *Let $L > 0$ and c be arbitrarily fixed with $1 - c^2 > 0$. Consider the smooth curve of dnoidal waves $\nu \in \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{L^2}, +\infty\right) \longmapsto \phi_\nu(\cdot; \eta_1(\nu), \eta_2(\nu))$ determined by Theorem 3.2. Then*

$$\frac{d}{d\nu}\int_0^L \phi_\nu^2(\xi)d\xi > 0.$$

Proof: Using the facts that $\eta_1 L = 2\sqrt{2(1-c^2)}K(k)$ and $\int_0^L dn^2(y)dy = E(k)$ (see Byrd and Friedman [14]) we get that

$$\int_0^L \phi_\nu^2(\xi)d\xi = 2\eta_1\sqrt{2(1-c^2)}\int_0^K dn^2(y;k)dy = \frac{8(1-c^2)}{L}K(k)E(k).$$

Since $k \mapsto K(k)E(k)$ and $\nu \mapsto k(\nu)$ are strictly increasing functions we obtain

$$\frac{d}{d\nu}\int_0^L \phi_\nu^2(\xi)d\xi = \frac{8(1-c^2)}{L}\frac{d}{dk}\left[K(k)E(k)\right]\frac{dk}{d\nu} > 0$$

This finishes the proof of the corollary. □

4 Spectral Analysis

In this part of the paper, we study some spectral properties of various operators which will be necessary to obtain our result of stability. First, note that the system (1.1) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} v_t = -V_x, \ \displaystyle\int_0^L V(x,t)dx = 0 \\ V_t = -(v+|u|^2)_x \\ iu_t + u_{xx} = uv \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

Therefore, we have the Hamiltonian structure $\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = JE'(U)$ where $U = (v, V, u)^t$, J is the linear skew-symmetric operator given by

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{d}{dx} & 0 \\ -\frac{d}{dx} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{i}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

and E is the energy functional define as

$$E(v, V, u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^L 2|u_x|^2 + v^2 + V^2 + 2v|u|^2 \ dx. \tag{4.2}$$

We also use the functionals Q_1 and Q_2 defined as

$$Q_1(v, V, u) = \int_0^L uV + \mathrm{Im}(u_x\overline{u}) \ dx \quad \text{and} \quad Q_2(v, V, u) = \int_0^L |u|^2 dx. \tag{4.3}$$

A standard analysis proves that E, Q_1 and Q_2 are conserved quantities of the system (4.1), i.e.,

$$E(v(t), V(t), u(t)) = E(v(0), V(0), u(0)), \quad Q_1(v(t), V(t), u(t)) = Q_1(v(0), V(0), u(0))$$
$$\text{and} \ \ Q_2(v(t), V(t), u(t)) = Q_2(v(0), V(0), u(0))$$

for all $t \in [-T, T]$, where T is the maximal time of existence of solutions.

Now, suppose that $V(x,t) = \varphi_{\omega,c}(x-ct)$, with $\varphi_{\omega,c} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ a smooth $L-$periodic function, is solution of $v_t = -V_x$, then

$$c\psi'_{\omega,c} = \varphi'_{\omega,c}. \tag{4.4}$$

Therefore, $c\psi = \varphi + d_0$, where d_0 is a constant of integration. Since we are interested in φ with zero mean, we obtain that $d_0 = \frac{c}{L}\int_0^L \psi dx$. Using tha fact that $\int_0^K \mathrm{dn}^2(x,k)dx = E(k)$ we get that $d_0(k) = -\frac{c\eta_1^2}{1-c^2}\frac{E(k)}{K(k)}$. Therefore

$$\varphi(\xi) = -\frac{c\eta_1^2}{1-c^2}\left[\mathrm{dn}^2\left(\frac{\eta_1\xi}{\sqrt{2(1-c^2)}}; k\right) - \frac{E(k)}{K(k)}\right]. \tag{4.5}$$

It is worth to note that if $\eta_2 \to 0^+$, then $\eta_1 \to \sqrt{2\alpha\nu}$ and therefore $k \to 1^-$. Since $\mathrm{dn}(u, 1^-) = \mathrm{sech}(u)$, $E(1) = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $K(1) = +\infty$ we arrive at

$$\varphi(\xi) = c\left(2\omega + \frac{c^2}{2}\right)\mathrm{sech}^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{-4\omega - c^2}}{2}\xi\right),$$

which is the solitary wave solution for (4.4).

Now, using the Theorem 3.2 we have that there exist periodic traveling waves for (4.1) given by

$$\left(\psi_{\omega,c}(x-ct), \varphi_{\omega,c}(x-ct), e^{-i\omega t}e^{i\frac{c}{2}(x-ct)}\phi_{\omega,c}(x-ct)\right),$$

where

$$\psi_{\omega,c}(\xi) = \frac{-\eta_1^2}{1-c^2}\mathrm{dn}^2\left(\frac{\eta_1\xi}{\sqrt{2(1-c^2)}};k\right),\ \phi_{\omega,c}(\xi) = \eta_1\mathrm{dn}\left(\frac{\eta_1\xi}{\sqrt{2(1-c^2)}};k\right) \tag{4.6}$$

$$\text{and} \quad \varphi_{\omega,c}(\xi) = -\frac{c\eta_1^2}{1-c^2}\left[\mathrm{dn}^2\left(\frac{\eta_1\xi}{\sqrt{2(1-c^2)}};k\right) - \frac{E(k)}{K(k)}\right]. \tag{4.7}$$

The next operators will be useful in the proof of the stability of the dnoidal wave solutions:

$$\mathcal{L}_3 = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \left(\omega + \frac{c^2}{4}\right) + 3\psi \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_4 = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \left(\omega + \frac{c^2}{4}\right) + \psi. \tag{4.8}$$

We will study the spectral properties of the operators $\mathcal{L}_i,\ i = 3,4$. Recall that $\sigma(\mathcal{L}_i) = \sigma_{ess}(\mathcal{L}_i) \cup \sigma_{disc}(\mathcal{L}_i)$ where $\sigma_{ess}(\mathcal{L}_i)$ and $\sigma_{disc}(\mathcal{L}_i)$ denote, respectively, the essential spectrum and the point spectrum of $\mathcal{L}_i$ (see Reed and Simon [31]). Write

$$\mathcal{L}_3 = \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \left(\omega + \frac{c^2}{4}\right)\right) + 3\psi =: \mathcal{L} + M_1,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_4 = \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \left(\omega + \frac{c^2}{4}\right)\right) + \psi =: \mathcal{L} + M_2,$$

where $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - (\omega + \frac{c^2}{4})$. Since M_1 and M_2 are relatively compact with respect to $\mathcal{L}$, it follows from the Weyl's Essential Spectrum Theorem (see Reed and Simon [31]) that $\sigma_{ess}(\mathcal{L}_i) = \sigma_{ess}(\mathcal{L}) = \emptyset$, with $i = 3,4$. Thus $\sigma(\mathcal{L}_i) = \sigma_{disc}(\mathcal{L}_i)$, para $i = 3,4$. Therefore we have to analyze the periodic eigenvalue problem on $[0, L]$

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_i\chi = \lambda\chi \\ \chi(0) = \chi(L),\ \chi'(0) = \chi'(L). \end{cases} \tag{4.9}$$

The problem (4.9) determines that the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}_i$ is a countable set of eigenvalues $\{\lambda_n : n = 0,1,2,3,...\}$ with

$$\lambda_0 \le \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le \lambda_3 \le \cdots,$$

where the double eigenvalues are counted twice and $\lambda \to +\infty$ when $n \to \infty$. We denote by χ_n the eigenfunctions associated to the eigenvalue λ_n. It is clear from the conditions $\chi(0) = \chi(L), \chi'(0) = \chi'(L)$ that χ_n can be extended to all $(-\infty, +\infty)$ as a continuous differentiable function with period L. We know from the Floquet theory that the periodic eigenvalue problem (4.9) is related to the study of the next semi-periodic eigenvalue problem consider in $[0, L]$

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_i\eta = \mu\eta \\ \eta(0) = -\eta(L),\ \eta'(0) = -\eta'(L), \end{cases}$$

which also is a self-adjoint problem and therefore determines a sequence of eigenvalues $\{\mu_n : n = 0,1,2,3...\}$ with

$$\mu_0 \le \mu_1 \le \mu_2 \le \mu_3 \le \cdots,$$

where the double eigenvalues are counted twice and $\mu_n \to +\infty$ when $n \to \infty$. We denote by η_n the eigenfunction associated to the eigenvalue μ_n.

Theorem 4.1 *Let $\phi_\nu = \phi$ and $\psi_\nu = \psi$ the dnoidal waves given by Theorem 3.2. Then,*

(i) the operator $\mathcal{L}_3$ in (4.8) defined in $L^2_{per}([0,L])$ with domain $H^2_{per}([0,L])$ has its fist three eigenvalues simple, where zero is the second one with associated eigenfunction ϕ'. Furthermore, the rest of the spectrum is constitute by a discrete set of eigenvalues which are double.

(ii) The operator $\mathcal{L}_4$ in (4.8) defined in $L^2_{per}([0,L])$ with domain $H^2_{per}([0,L])$ has zero as its first eigenvalue which is simple with associated eigenfunction ϕ. Furthermore, the rest of the spectrum is constitute by a discrete set of eigenvalues.

Proof: (i) The proof is based on the Floquet Theory (see Eastham [17], Mangnus and Winkler [26]). Deriving (3.4) and using (3.3) we have that $\mathcal{L}_3\phi' = 0$. Then zero is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}_3$ with associated eigenfunction ϕ'. Since ϕ' has exactly two zeros on $[0, L)$, we get that zero is either the second or the third eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}_3$. We will prove that zero is in fact the second one. For this we have to study the periodic problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_3\chi = \lambda\chi \\ \chi(0) = \chi(L),\ \ \chi'(0) = \chi'(L). \end{cases} \tag{4.10}$$

Let $\Lambda(x) = \chi(\eta x)$ where $\eta = \frac{\sqrt{2\alpha}}{\eta_1}$. Then, from the explicit form of ψ and the relation $k^2\text{sn}^2+\text{dn}^2 = 1$,we have that the problem (4.10) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda''+[\rho - 6k^2\text{sn}^2(x;k)]\Lambda = 0 \\ \Lambda(0) = \Lambda(2K),\ \ \Lambda'(0) = \Lambda'(2K), \end{cases} \tag{4.11}$$

where

$$\rho = \frac{2\alpha}{\eta_1^2}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2} + \omega + \frac{c^2}{4} + \frac{3\eta_1^2}{\alpha}\right).$$

The second order equation given in (4.11) is called the Jacobian form of the Lamé equation. It is well known that such equation determines the existence of exactly three intervals of instability (see Theorem 7.8 in Mangnus and Winkler [26]). We will show that this intervals are the first three. First, observe that $\rho_1 = 4 + k^2$ and $\Lambda_1(x) = \text{cn}(x;k)\text{sn}(x;k)$ satisfy the problem (4.11). Furthermore, following Ince [23] we have that the functions

$$\Lambda_0(x) = 1 - (1 + k^2 - \sqrt{1 + k^2 + k^4})\text{sn}^2(x;k),$$
$$\Lambda_2(x) = 1 - (1 + k^2 + \sqrt{1 + k^2 + k^4})\text{sn}^2(x;k),$$

which have period $2K$, are the eigenfunctions of (4.11) with eigenvalues given by

$$\rho_0 = 2\left(1 + k^2 - \sqrt{1 + k^2 + k^4}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_2 = 2\left(1 + k^2 - \sqrt{1 + k^2 + k^4}\right).$$

Since Λ_0 does not have zeros in $[0, 2K]$, it follows that ρ_0 is the first eigenvalue of (4.11). Furthermore, since Λ_2 has two zeros in $[0, 2K)$ and $\rho_1 < \rho_2$, we have that ρ_1 is the second eigenvalue of (4.11) and ρ_2 is the third. We also have that ρ_0, ρ_1 and ρ_2 are simple. Now, since the eigenvalues of (4.10) and (4.11) are related as

$$\lambda = \frac{\eta_1}{\alpha}(\rho - 6) + 2\nu,$$

we can see λ as a function of ρ, which is increasing. Since $k^2 - 2 = \frac{2\alpha\nu}{\eta_1^2}$, we have that $\lambda(\rho_1) = 0 = \lambda_1$ and since $\lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$, we obtain that

$$\lambda_0 < 0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2.$$

This finishes the proof of the part (i).

(ii) Using (3.4) and (3.3) we have that $\mathcal{L}_4\phi = 0$. Thus, zero is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}_4$ with associated eigenfunction ϕ. Since ϕ does not have zeros in $[0, L]$ we obtain that zero is the first eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}_4$ and it is simple. □

5 Nonlinear Stability for the Dnoidal Wave Solutions

In this section we study the nonlinear stability properties of the periodic traveling wave solution $\Phi(\xi) = (\psi(\xi), \varphi(\xi), \widetilde{\phi}(\xi))$ where ψ, φ and ϕ are given by (4.6), (4.7), $\widetilde{\phi}(\xi) = e^{i\frac{c}{2}\xi}\phi(\xi)$ and $1 - c^2 > 0$. First, we define the type of stability in which we are interested: Let $X := L^2_{per}([0,L]) \times \widetilde{L}^2_{per}([0,L]) \times H^1_{per}([0,L])$, where

$$\widetilde{L}^2_{per}([0,L]) = \left\{ f \in L^2_{per}([0,L]) : \int_0^L f(x)dx = 0 \right\}.$$

Initially, observe that the system (4.1) has two basic symmetries: translations and rotations. This means that if $(v(x,t), V(x,t), u(x,t))$ is a solution of (4.1), then the pair of functions

$$(v(x+y), V(x+y), u(x+y)) \qquad \text{and} \qquad (v(x,t), V(x,t), e^{-is}u(x,t))$$

are also solutions, for any real constants y and s. So, our notion of stability will be modulus these symmetries. More precisely,

Definition 5.1 *We say that the orbit generated by $\Phi(\xi)$, namely*

$$\mathcal{O}_\Phi = \left\{ \left(\psi(\cdot + y)), \varphi(\cdot + y)), e^{i\theta}\widetilde{\phi}(\cdot + y) \right) : (\theta, y) \in [0, 2\pi) \times \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

is stable in X by the flow generated by the system (4.1), if for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $(v_0, V_0, u_0) \in X$ satisfying

$$\|v_0 - \psi\|_{L^2_{per}} < \delta, \quad \|V_0 - \varphi\|_{L^2_{per}} < \delta \quad \textit{and} \quad \|u_0 - \widetilde{\phi}\|_{H^1_{per}} < \delta,$$

we have that the solution (v, V, u) of the system (4.1) with $(v(0), V(0), u(0)) = (v_0, V_0, u_0)$, satisfies

$$(v, V, u) \in C(\mathbb{R}; L^2_{per}([0,L])) \times C(\mathbb{R}; \widetilde{L}^2_{per}([0,L])) \times C(\mathbb{R}; H^1_{per}([0,L])),$$

$$\inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \|v(\cdot + y, t) - \psi\|_{L^2_{per}} < \epsilon, \quad \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \|V(\cdot + y, t) - \varphi\|_{L^2_{per}} < \epsilon \tag{5.1}$$

$$\textit{and} \qquad \inf_{\theta \in [0,2\pi), y \in \mathbb{R}} \|e^{i\theta}u(\cdot + y, t) - \widetilde{\phi}\|_{H^1_{per}} < \epsilon. \tag{5.2}$$

Otherwise, we say that Φ X-unstable.

Next, we present our result of stability for the dnoidal waves.

Theorem 5.2 *Let $L > 0$ and $1 - c^2 > 0$ be fixed numbers. Consider the smooth curve of periodic traveling wave solutions for the system (4.1), $\nu \mapsto (\psi_\nu, \varphi_\nu, \phi_\nu)$, determined by the Theorem 3.2 and (4.5). Then, for $\nu > \frac{2\pi^2}{L^2}$ the orbit generated by $\Phi_\nu(x,t) = \left(\psi_\nu(x), \varphi_\nu(x), \widetilde{\phi}_\nu(x)\right)$ is stable in X by the periodic flow generated by the system (4.1), if the initial datum (v_0, V_0, u_0) satisfies*

$$\int_0^L v_0(x)dx \leq \int_0^L \psi(x)dx.$$

Proof: Consider $(\psi_\nu, \varphi_\nu, \widetilde{\phi}_\nu)$ the solution of (4.1) given by Theorem 3.2. For $(v_0, V_0, u_0) \in L^2([0,L]) \times \widetilde{L}^2_{per}([0,L]) \times H^1_{per}([0,L])$ and (v, V, u) the global solution for (4.1) corresponding to this initial data, we define for $t \geq 0$ and $\nu > \frac{2\pi^2}{L^2}$

$$\Omega_t(y, \theta) = \|e^{i\theta}(T_c u)'(\cdot + y, t) - \phi'_\nu\|^2_{L^2_{per}} + \nu\|e^{i\theta}(T_c u)(\cdot + y, t) - \phi_\nu\|^2_{L^2_{per}},$$

where we denote by T_c the bounded linear operator define as

$$(T_c u)(x,t) = e^{-ic(x-ct)/2}u(x,t).$$

Then, the deviation of the solution $u(t)$ from the orbit generated by Φ is measure by

$$\rho_\nu(u(\cdot,t),\phi_\nu)^2 := \inf\left\{\Omega_t(y,\theta) : (y,\theta)\in[0,L]\times[0,2\pi]\right\}. \tag{5.3}$$

Therefore, from (5.3) we have that for each t the $\inf\Omega_t(y,\theta)$ is attained in $(\theta,y)=(\theta(t),y(t))$. Consider the perturbation of the periodic wave $(\psi,\varphi,\widetilde{\phi})$

$$\begin{cases} \xi(x,t) = e^{i\theta}(T_c u)(x+y,t) - \phi_\nu(x) \\ \eta(x,t) = V(x+y,t) - \varphi_\nu(x) \\ \gamma(x,t) = v(x+y,t) - \psi_\nu(x). \end{cases} \tag{5.4}$$

By the property of minimum of $(\theta,y)=(\theta(t),y(t))$, we obtain from (5.4) that $p(x,t)=\mathrm{Re}(\xi(x,t))$ and $q(x,t)=\mathrm{Im}(\xi(x,t))$ satisfy the compatibility relations

$$\begin{cases} \displaystyle\int_0^L q(x,t)\phi_\nu(x)\psi_\nu(x)dx = 0 \\ \displaystyle\int_0^L p(x,t)(\phi_\nu(x)\psi_\nu(x))'dx = 0. \end{cases} \tag{5.5}$$

Now, consider the continuous functional $\mathcal{B}$ defined in X as

$$\mathcal{B}(v,V,u) := E(v,V,u) - cQ_1(v,V,u) - \omega Q_2(v,V,u),$$

where E, Q_1 and Q_2 were defined in (4.2) and (4.3). Then, from (5.4) and (5.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\mathcal{B} &:= \mathcal{B}(v(t),V(t),u(t)) - \mathcal{B}(\psi,\varphi,\widetilde{\phi}) \\ &= (\mathcal{L}_3 p,p) + (\mathcal{L}_4 q,q) + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^L \gamma^2 + 2\gamma(p^2+q^2) - 4\psi p^2 + 4\gamma p\phi\, dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^L 2\gamma\psi + \eta^2 + 2\eta\varphi + 2\gamma\phi^2 - 2c\gamma\eta - 2c\gamma\varphi - 2c\psi\eta\, dx \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_3 = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \left(\omega + \frac{c^2}{4}\right) + 3\psi \quad\text{and}\quad \mathcal{L}_4 = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \left(\omega + \frac{c^2}{4}\right) + \psi.$$

Using the facts that $c\psi - \varphi = d_0$ and $\int_0^L \eta dx = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\mathcal{B}(t) &= (\mathcal{L}_3 p,p) + (\mathcal{L}_4 q,q) + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^L \left[\sqrt{1-c^2}\gamma + \frac{2\phi p}{\sqrt{1-c^2}} + \frac{p^2+q^2}{\sqrt{1-c^2}}\right]^2 dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^L (c\gamma-\eta)^2 dx - \int_0^L \frac{4\phi p(p^2+q^2)}{1-c^2} + \frac{(p^2+q^2)^2}{1-c^2}dx + \int_0^L (c\gamma-\eta)(c\psi-\varphi)dx \\ &= (\mathcal{L}_3 p,p) + (\mathcal{L}_4 q,q) + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^L \left[\sqrt{1-c^2}\gamma + \frac{2\phi p}{\sqrt{1-c^2}} + \frac{p^2+q^2}{\sqrt{1-c^2}}\right]^2 dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^L (c\gamma-\eta)^2 dx - \int \frac{4\phi p(p^2+q^2)}{1-c^2} + \frac{(p^2+q^2)^2}{1-c^2}dx + cd_0\int_0^L \gamma dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $cd_0 \leq 0$, $\int_0^L v_0 dx \leq \int_0^L \psi(x)dx$ and $\int v(t,x)dx = \int v_0(x)dx$, we have that $cd_0 \int_0^L \gamma dx \geq 0$. Therefore

$$\Delta\mathcal{B}(t) \geq (\mathcal{L}_3 p, p) + (\mathcal{L}_4 q, q) + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^L \left[\sqrt{1-c^2}\gamma + \frac{2\phi p}{\sqrt{1-c^2}} + \frac{p^2+q^2}{\sqrt{1-c^2}}\right]^2 dx$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2}\int_0^L (c\gamma - \eta)^2 dx - C_1\|\xi\|^3_{H^1_{per}} - C_2\|\xi\|^4_{H^1_{per}}, \tag{5.6}$$

with $C_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2$.

The estimates for $(\mathcal{L}_3 p, p)$ and $(\mathcal{L}_4 q, q)$ will be obtain from the next theorems.

Theorem 5.3 *Let* $1 - c^2 > 0$ *and* $\nu > \frac{2\pi^2}{L^2}$ *fixed numbers. Consider* ϕ_ν *the dnoidal wave given by Theorem 3.2. Then*

(a) $\inf\{(\mathcal{L}_3 f, f) : \|f\| = 1$ *and* $(f, \phi_\nu) = 0\} =: \alpha_0 = 0$

(b) $\inf\{(\mathcal{L}_3 f, f) : \|f\| = 1,\ (f, \phi_\nu) = 0$ *and* $(f, (\phi_\nu\psi_\nu)') = 0\} =: \alpha > 0$.

Proof: (a) Since $\mathcal{L}_3\left(\frac{d}{dx}\phi_\nu\right) = 0$ and $\left(\frac{d}{dx}\phi_\nu, \phi_\nu\right) = 0$, then $\alpha_0 \leq 0$. We prove that $\alpha_0 \geq 0$ using Lemma E.1 in Weinstein [35] (which works on the periodic case). We first show that the infimum is attained. In fact, since ϕ_ν is bounded we have that α is finite, thus there exists $\{f_j\} \subset H^1_{per}([0,L])$ with $\|f_j\| = 1$, $(f_j, \phi_\nu) = 0$ and $\lim_{j\to\infty}(\mathcal{L}_3 f_j, f_j) = \alpha_0$. Since $\{f_j\}$ is bounded in $H^1_{per}([0,L])$ there exists a subsequence of $\{f_j\}$, that we denote again f_j, such that $f_j \rightharpoonup g$ weakly in $H^1_{per}([0,L])$, then $f_j \to g$ in $L^2_{per}([0,L])$. Therefore $(g, \phi_\nu) = 0$ and $(\phi_c f_j, f_j) \to (\phi g, g)$ when $j \to +\infty$. So $g \neq 0$ and $\|g'\|_{L^2_{per}} \leq \liminf \|f'_j\|_{L^2_{per}}$.

Now, define $f = g/\|g\|_{L^2_{per}}$, then $(f, \phi_\nu) = 0$, $\|f\|_{L^2_{per}} = 1$ and

$$\alpha_0 \leq (\mathcal{L}_3 f, f) \leq \frac{\alpha_0}{\|f\|^2_{L^2_{per}}} = \alpha_0.$$

Therefore the infimum is attained. We show now that $\alpha_0 \geq 0$. In fact, $\mathcal{L}_3$ has the spectral properties required to use Lemma E.1, we need to find χ such that $\mathcal{L}_3\chi = \phi_\nu$ and $(\chi, \phi_\nu) \leq 0$. From Theorem 3.2 we have that $\nu \in \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{L^2}, +\infty\right) \longmapsto \phi_\nu \in H^1_{per}([0,L])$ is of class C^1, then differentiating (3.4) with respect to ν we obtain that $\chi = -\frac{d}{d\nu}\phi_\nu$ satisfies $\mathcal{L}_3\chi = \phi_\nu$. Using the Corollary 3.3 we obtain that

$$(\chi, \phi_\nu) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{d\nu}\int_0^L \phi_\nu^2(\xi)\ d\xi < 0$$

Therefore $(\chi, \phi_\nu) < 0$, which proves that $\alpha_0 \geq 0$. This finishes the proof of part (a).

(b) Using the part (a), we have that $\alpha \geq 0$. Suppose that $\alpha = 0$. Using a similar argument as in part (a) we obtain that there exists $f \in H^1_{per}([0,L])$ such that $\|f\|_{L^2_{per}} = 1$ and $(f, \phi_\nu) = (f, (\phi_\nu\psi)') = 0$. Then, from the theory of Lagrange Multipliers, there exists λ, θ and δ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_3 f = \lambda f + \theta\phi_\nu + \delta(\phi_\nu\psi_\nu)'.$$

Since $(\mathcal{L}_3 f, f) = 0$, we obtain that $\lambda = 0$. From the fact that $\mathcal{L}_3\phi'_\nu = 0$ we have

$$0 = \delta\int_0^L \phi'_\nu(\phi_\nu\psi_\nu)' d\xi = -\frac{3\delta}{1-c^2}\int_0^L (\phi'_\nu)^2\phi_\nu^2\ d\xi.$$

The last inequality implies $\delta = 0$, thus $\mathcal{L}_3 f = \theta\phi_\nu$. Consider $\chi = -\frac{d}{d\nu}\phi_\nu$, then we get that $\mathcal{L}_3(f-\theta\chi) = 0$, thus

$$0 = (f-\theta\chi, \phi_\nu) = -\theta(\chi, \phi_\nu).$$

Therefore $\theta = 0$ and consequently there exists $s \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ such that $f = s\phi_\nu'$, which is absurd. Therefore $\alpha > 0$, which finishes the proof of the theorem. □

Theorem 5.4 *Let $1-c^2 > 0$ and $\nu > \frac{2\pi^2}{L^2}$ be fixed numbers. Consider ϕ_ν and ψ_ν the dnoidal waves given by Theorem 3.2. Then,*

$$\inf\{(\mathcal{L}_4 f, f) : \|f\|_{L^2_{per}} = 1 \quad \textit{and} \quad (f, \phi_\nu\psi_\nu) = 0\} =: \beta > 0$$

Proof: From the spectral properties of $\mathcal{L}_4$ is clear that $\mathcal{L}_4$ is a nonnegative operator, therefore $\beta \geq 0$. Suppose that $\beta = 0$. Then, following the same ideas of the proof of Theorem 5.3, we have that the infimum is attained on a admissible function $g \neq 0$ and there exists $(\lambda,\theta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_4 g = \lambda g + \theta\phi_\nu\psi_\nu.$$

Since $(g, \phi_\nu\psi_\nu) = 0$, then $\lambda = 0$. Furthermore,

$$0 = (\mathcal{L}_4\phi, g) = \theta\int_0^L \phi_\nu^2\psi_\nu \, d\xi,$$

which implies $\theta = 0$. Since zero is a simple eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}_4$, there exists $s \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ such that $g = s\phi$, which is absurd. This finishes the proof of the theorem. □

Our goal is to estimate the terms $(\mathcal{L}_3 p, p)$ and $(\mathcal{L}_4 q, q)$, where p and q satisfy (5.5). Using Theorem 5.4 and definition of $\mathcal{L}_4$, we have that there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$(\mathcal{L}_4 q, q) \geq C_0\|q\|^2_{H^1_{per}}. \tag{5.7}$$

Now, we estimate $(\mathcal{L}_3 p, p)$. Suppose with out loos of generality that $\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^2_{per}} = 1$. Denote $p_\perp = p - p_\parallel$, where $p_\parallel = (p,\phi_\nu)\phi_\nu$, then from (5.5) we obtain that $(p_\perp, \phi_\nu) = 0$ and $(p_\perp, (\phi_\nu\psi_\nu)') = 0$. From Theorem 5.3 it follows that $(\mathcal{L}_3 p_\perp, p_\perp) \geq \widetilde{C}_0\|p_\perp\|^2_{L^2_{per}}$.

Also consider the normalization $Q_2(u_0) = Q_2(\phi)$, i.e., $\|u_0\|_{L^2_{per}} = \|\phi_\nu\|_{L^2_{per}}$. Then $\|u(t)\|_{L^2_{per}} = 1$, for all $t \geq 0$, thus $-2(p,\phi_\nu) = \|\xi\|^2_{L^2_{per}}$. Therefore

$$(\mathcal{L}_3 p_\perp, p_\perp) \geq C_0\|p_\perp\|^2_{L^2_{per}} \geq C_0\|p\|^2_{L^2_{per}} - \widetilde{C}_1\|\xi\|^4_{H^1_{per}}.$$

Since $(\mathcal{L}_3\phi_\nu, \phi_\nu) < 0$, it follows that $\left(\mathcal{L}_3 p_\parallel, p_\parallel\right) \geq -\widetilde{C}_2\|\xi\|^4_{H^1_{per}}$. From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get that $\left(\mathcal{L}_3 p_\parallel, p_\perp\right) \geq -\widetilde{C}_3\|\xi\|^4_{H^1_{per}}$. Therefore, from the specific form of the operator $\mathcal{L}_3$ we conclude that

$$(\mathcal{L}_3 p, p) \geq D_1\|p\|^2_{H^1_{per}} - D_2\|p\|^3_{H^1_{per}} - D_3\|p\|^4_{H^1_{per}}, \tag{5.8}$$

where $D_j > 0$ for $j = 1,2,3$.

Now, using (5.6), (5.7) and (5.8) we arrive at

$$\Delta\mathcal{B}(t) \geq d_1\|\xi\|^2_{1,\nu} - d_2\|\xi\|^3_{1,\nu} - d_3\|\xi\|^4_{1,\nu}$$

where $d_i > 0$, for $i = 1,2,3$ and $\|f\|^2_{1,\nu} := \|f'\|^2_{L^2_{per}} + \nu\|f\|^2_{L^2_{per}}$. Using a similar argument as in Benjamin [10], we obtain that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that if

$$\|u_0 - \widetilde{\phi}\|_{1,\nu} < \delta, \quad \|V_0 - \varphi\|_{L^2_{per}} < \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \|v_0 - \psi\|_{L^2_{per}} < \delta,$$

then

$$\rho_\nu(u(t),\phi_\nu)^2 = \|\xi(t)\|_{1,\nu}^2 < \epsilon, \tag{5.9}$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Therefore we obtain the inequality (5.2).

Finally, using (5.6) and the analysis made above for ξ we obtain that

$$\int_0^L \left[\sqrt{1-c^2}\gamma + \frac{2\phi p}{\sqrt{1-c^2}} + \frac{p^2+q^2}{\sqrt{1-c^2}}\right]^2 dx \leq \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^L (c\gamma - \eta)^2 dx \leq \epsilon.$$

Using the two last inequalities, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (5.9) we arrive at (5.1), which proves that $(\psi, \varphi, \widetilde{\phi})$ is stable with respect to small perturbations that preserves the $L^2_{per}([0,L])$ norm of $\widetilde{\phi}$. The general case follows from the continuity of the map

$$\nu \in \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{L^2}, +\infty\right) \mapsto (\psi, \varphi, \widetilde{\phi}).$$

This finishes the proof of the theorem. □

6 Stability for the Solitary Wave Solutions

In this section we improve the result established by Ya Ping in [37], to obtain a correct stability result for the solitary wave solutions associated to the Zakharov system. With regard to the Cauchy problem associate to the system (1.1) we address the reader to the work of Colliander in [16], where is obtained a result of global well-posedness for initial data $(u, v, v_t)(0) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}) \times \widehat{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$.

If $v_t(x,0) \in \widehat{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ we can rewrite (1.1) as the equivalent system

$$\begin{cases} v_t = -V_x, \\ V_t = -(v+|u|^2)_x \\ iu_t + u_{xx} = uv. \end{cases} \tag{6.1}$$

The solitary wave solutions for this system are given by

$$\psi_{\omega,c}(\xi) = \left(2\omega + \frac{c^2}{2}\right) \operatorname{sech}^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{-4\omega-c^2}}{2}\xi\right), \quad \phi_{\omega,c}(\xi) = \sqrt{\frac{(-4\omega-c^2)(1-c^2)}{2}} \operatorname{sech}\left(\frac{\sqrt{-4\omega-c^2}}{2}\xi\right)$$

$$\text{and} \quad \varphi_{\omega,c}(\xi) = c\left(2\omega + \frac{c^2}{2}\right) \operatorname{sech}^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{-4\omega-c^2}}{2}\xi\right).$$

As in the periodic case, we have to study the spectral properties of the operators

$$L_3 = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \left(\omega + \frac{c^2}{4}\right) + 3\psi \quad \text{and} \quad L_4 = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \left(\omega + \frac{c^2}{4}\right) + \psi. \tag{6.2}$$

The spectral properties necessary to obtain our result of stability were established by Ya Ping in [37]. See also [37] for the definition of orbital stability for the solitary wave solutions associated to the Zakharon system.

The next theorem is the principal result of this section.

Theorem 6.1 *Assume that* $4\omega+c^2 \leq 0$ *and* $1-c^2 > 0$. *Then the solitary wave solutions* $(\psi_{\omega,c}, \varphi_{\omega,c}, \widetilde{\phi}_{\omega,c})$, *with* $\widetilde{\phi}_{\omega,c}(x) = e^{i\frac{c}{2}x}\phi_{\omega,c}(x)$, *are orbitally stable in* $X = L^2_{per}([0,L]) \times L^2_{per}([0,L]) \times H^1_{per}([0,L])$ *by the flow generated for the system (6.1).*

Proof: The proof follows the same ideas of Theorem 5.4. We only observe that in this case

$$\Delta\mathcal{B}(t) = (L_3 p, p) + (L_4 q, q) + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\sqrt{1-c^2}\gamma + \frac{2\phi p}{\sqrt{1-c^2}} + \frac{p^2+q^2}{\sqrt{1-c^2}}\right]^2 dx$$
$$+\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} (c\gamma - \eta)^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{4\phi p(p^2+q^2)}{1-c^2} + \frac{(p^2+q^2)^2}{1-c^2} dx.$$

Note that the constant d_0 does not appear because the decaying properties of the solitary wave solutions imply that $d_0 = 0$. The rest of the proof follows similarly as the result obtained on the periodic case. □

References

[1] H. Added and S. Added, *Existence globale de solutions fortes pour les équations de la turbulence de Langmuir en dimension 2,* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math. 299 (1984), pp. 551-554.

[2] J. Angulo, *Nonlinear Dispersive Evolution Equations: Existence and Stability of Solitary and Periodic Traveling Waves Solutions*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs Series (SURV), AMS, 156 (2009).

[3] J. Angulo, *Stability of cnoidal waves to Hirota-Satsuma systems,* Mat. Contemp., 27 (2004), pp. 189-223.

[4] J. Angulo, *Non-linear stability of periodic travelling-wave solutions to the Schrödinger and the modified Korteweg-de Vries,* J. Differential Equations, 235 (2007), pp. 1-30.

[5] J. Angulo, J. Bona and M. Scialom, *Stability of cnoidal waves,* Adv. Differential Equations, 11 (2006), pp. 1321-1374.

[6] J. Angulo and F. Natali, *Stability and instability of periodic travelling wave solutions for the critical Korteweg-de Vries and nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Phys. D, 238 (2009), pp. 603-621.

[7] J. Angulo and F. Natali, *Positivity properties of the Fourier transform and the stability of periodic travelling-wave solutions,* SIAM, J. Math. Anal., 40 (2008), pp. 1123-1151.

[8] I. Bejenaru, S. Herr, J. Holmer, and D. Tataru, *On the 2D Zakharov system with L^2-Schrödinger data,* Nonlinearity, 22 (2009), pp. 1063-1089.

[9] T. B. Benjamin, *Lectures on nonlinear wave motion*, Nonlinear wave motion, AMS Providence, R. I. 15 (1974), pp. 3-47.

[10] T. B. Benjamin, *The stability of solitary waves,* Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A, 338 (1972), pp. 153-183.

[11] J. L. Bona, *On the stability theory of solitary waves,* Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A, 344 (1975), pp. 363-374.

[12] J. Bourgain, *On the Cauchy and invariant measure problem for the periodic Zakharov system,* Duke Math. J. 76 (1994), pp. 175-202

[13] J. Bourgain and J. Colliander, *On wellposedness of the Zakharov system,* Internat. Math. Res. Notices 11 (1996), pp. 515-546.

[14] P. F. Byrd and M. D. Friedman, “Handbook of Elliptic Integrals for Engineers and Scientists,” 2^{nd} edition, Springer, NY, 1971.

[15] J. Colliander, *Wellposedness for Zakharov systems with generelized nonlinearity,* J. Differential Equations, 148 (1998), pp. 351-363.

[16] J. Colliander, *The initial value problem for the Zakharov systems,* PhD Thesis, University of Illinois, 1997.

[17] M. Eastham, *The Spectral Theory of Periodic Differential Equations,* Scottish Academic Press, London (1973).

[18] T. Gallay and M. Hărăgus, *Stability of small periodic waves for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation,* J. Differential Equations, 234 (2007), pp. 544-581.

[19] T. Gallay and M. Hărăgus, *Orbital stability of periodic waves for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation,* J. Dynam. Differential Equations, 19 (2007), pp. 825-865.

[20] J. Ginibre, Y. Tsutsumi and G. Velo, *On the Cauchy problem for the Zakharov system,* J. Funct. Anal. 151 (1997), pp. 384-436.

[21] B. Guo and L. Shen, *The existence and uniqueness of the classical solution to the periodic initial value problem for the Zakharov equation,* Acta Math. Appl. Sinica 5 (1982), pp. 310-324.

[22] M. Hărăguş, *Stability of periodic waves for the generalized BBM equation,* Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., 53 (2008), pp. 445-463.

[23] E. Ince, *The periodic Lamé functions,* Proc. Roy, Soc., Edinburgh 60 (1940), pp. 47-63.

[24] R. J. Iorio Jr. and V. de M. Iorio, *Fourier analysis and partial differential equations,* Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 70, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2001.

[25] C. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega, *On the Zakharov and Zakharov Schulman systems,* J. Funct. Anal. 127 (1995), pp. 204-234.

[26] W. Magnus and S. Winkler, *Hill's equation,* vol 20, Interscience, Tracts in Pure and Appl. Math., 1976.

[27] F. Natali and A. Pastor, *Stability and instability of periodic standing wave solutions for some Klein-Gordon equations,* J. Math. Anal. Appl., 347 (2008), pp. 428-441.

[28] A. Neves, *Floquet's theorem and stability of periodic solitary waves,* To appear in J. Dynam. Differential Equations.

[29] T. Ozawa and Y. Tsutsumi, *Existence and smoothing effect of solutions for the Zakharov equations,* Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 28 (1992), pp. 329-361.

[30] H. Pecher, *Global well-posedness below energy space for the 1-dimensional Zakharov system,* Internat. Math. Res. Notices 19 (2001), pp. 1027-1056.

[31] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathemaical physics: Analysis of operators, Vol. IV,* Academic Press, New york, 1978.

[32] S. Schochet and M. Weinstein, *The nonlinear Schrdinger limit of the Zakharov equations governing the Langmuir turbulence,* Comm. Math. Phys. 106 (1986), pp. 569-580.

[33] C. Sulem and P-L. Sulem, *Quelques résultats de régularité pour les équations de la turbulence de Langmuir,* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A-B 289 (1979), pp. A173-A176.

[34] H. Takaoka, *Well-posedness for the Zakharov system with the periodic boundary condition,* Differential Integral Equations, 12 (1999), pp. 789-810.

[35] M. I. Weinstein, *Modulation stability of ground states of nonlinear Schrödinger equation,* SIAM J. Math. Anal., 16 (1985), pp. 472-490.

[36] M. I. Weinstein, *Lyapunov stability of ground states of nonlinear dispersive equations,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 39 (1986), pp. 51-68.

[37] W. Ya ping, *Orbital stability of solitary waves of Zakharov system,* J. Math. Phys., 35 (1994), pp. 2413-2422.

[38] V. E. Zakharov, *Collapse of Langmuir waves,* Sov. Phys. JEPT, 35 (1972), pp. 908-914.